IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO: 20901 of 2004

Between:

1 P.Varadaiah, s/o Kondaiah,
r/o 5-1266/4, Dorasanipalli Road, Proddatur,
Kadapa District.
2 P.Munivar Ali Khan, s/o P.Hussain Khan,
r/o 5/723, Devanagapeta Street, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
3 P.Munir Ali Khan, s/o P.Hussain Khan,
r/o 3/393, College Road, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
4 T.Peeraiah, s/o late T.Khaderaiah,
r/o 17/317, Nadimpalle Street, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
5 P.Iptharkar Ali Khan, s/o P.Hussain Khan,
r/o 5/723, Devangapet Street, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
6 D.Sudhakar, s/o Narasaiah,
r/o 5/996, Sreeramnagar Street, Proddatur, Kadapa District.
(All petitioners contract Labourers)

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Member Secretary, A.P.Transco, Vidyuth Soudha,
Khairatabad, Hyderabad.
2 The Chief Engineer (Project), A.P.Transco, Rayalaseema

Thermal Power Project, Kalamalla, Yerraguntla, Kadapa District.
3 The Superintending Engineer, A.P.Transco (Operations),
Yerraguntla, Kadapa District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of

mandamus directing the respondents to allow the petitioners to attend interviews and absorb them in the vacancies according to BP (P&G Per.) Ms.36 dated 18-5-1997 and B.P.(P&G Per.) Ms.37 dated 18-5-1997.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.VADDIPAMULETY

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.S.RAVINDRANATH

The Court at the stage of admission made the following:

HONOURABLE Dr. JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO.20901 OF 2004

ORDER:

The petitioners are claiming that they worked as Contract Labour during 1991-92, 1993-94 as per the Service certificates produced by them from the concerned contractor. The contractor did not mention as to what type of work he undertook, and those certificates were also not counter-signed by the concerned engineers to confirm that the certificate issued by the Contractor is a genuine one. In the absence of such material, it is not possible to direct the respondents to permit the petitioners for interviews.

2. The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

Dr. G.YETHIRAJULU, J

11th November, 2004

SKM

TO

1 The Member Secretary, A.P.Transco, Vidyuth Soudha, Khairatabad, Hyderabad.
2 The Chief Engineer (Project), A.P.Transco, Rayalaseema

Thermal Power Project, Kalamalla, Yerraguntla, Kadapa District.
3 The Superintending Engineer, A.P.Transco (Operations), Yerraguntla, Kadapa District.

4 Two C.D. Copies.